```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
 2
                           WESTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
                      PLAINTIFF,
 6
                                     ) CASE NO. 5:10-HC-2124-BO
                VS
 7
 8
       PETER M. EBEL,
 9
                      DEFENDANT.
10
11
12
13
                                STATUS CONFERENCE
14
                                  MAY 6, 2011
15
                     HONORABLE TERRENCE W. BOYLE, PRESIDING
16
17
       APPEARANCES:
18
            MR. G. NORMAN ACKER, III
19
            ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
            310 NEW BERN AVENUE
20
            RALEIGH, NC
                         27601
            (FOR THE GOVERNMENT)
21
            MR. EDWARD D. GRAY
22
            ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
            310 NEW BERN AVENUE
23
            RALEIGH, NC
                          27601
            (FOR THE GOVERNMENT)
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES: (CONT.)
2	MR. ROBERT LONNIE COOPER ATTORNEY AT LAW
3	1719 RAMSEY STREET P.O. BOX 1598
4	FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28302-1598 (FOR THE RESPONDENT; MORNING SESSION ONLY)
5	MR. JAMES RYAN HAWES
6	ATTORNEY AT LAW 127 W. HARGETT STREET
7	RALEIGH, NC 27601
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	SHARON K. KROEGER, COURT REPORTER MACHINE SHORTHAND REPORTER, COMPUTER AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I GUESS YOU CAN BRING
2	MR. EBEL OUT. LET'S SEE. GOOD MORNING, MR. GRAY, MR.
3	ACKER, MR. COOPER.
4	MR. ACKER: GOOD MORNING.
5	MR. COOPER: GOOD MORNING.
6	THE COURT: YOU CAN HAVE A SEAT. MR. COOPER,
7	ARE YOU THE FIRST LAWYER TO BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE
8	DEFENDANT?
9	MR. COOPER: NO, YOUR HONOR.
10	THE COURT: WHO WAS THE FIRST ONE?
11	MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, YOU HAVE TO GO BACK
12	TO THE BEGINNING OF THE CASE, BUT I THINK I AM
13	THE COURT: NO, I MEAN IN THE CIVIL CASE.
14	MR. COOPER: IN THE CIVIL CASE? YES.
15	THE COURT: OKAY.
16	MR. COOPER: I THINK CERTAINLY THE PUBLIC
17	DEFENDER'S OFFICE WAS REPRESENTING HIM PRIOR TO MY
18	SERVICES. I DO BELIEVE I AM THE FIRST ONE OUTSIDE OF THE
19	PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE.
20	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. EBEL, DO YOU PRONOUNCE
21	IT EBEL?
22	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
23	THE COURT: WAS THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
24	YOUR FIRST LAWYER?
25	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.

1	THE COURT: AND THEN WE HAD A HEARING. WERE
2	THEY YOUR LAWYER WHEN YOU HAD THE HEARING?
3	THE RESPONDENT: I AM SORRY, SIR.
4	THE COURT: WERE THEY YOUR LAWYER WHEN WE HAD
5	THE HEARING?
6	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR. IN JANUARY, I
7	BELIEVE, SIR, IT WAS MR. MC NAMARA.
8	THE COURT: THAT WAS THE ONLY OTHER HEARING
9	YOU HAVE HAD IN THIS CASE?
10	THE RESPONDENT: THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.
11	THE COURT: THEN YOU WERE CERTIFIED IN JUNE OR
12	JULY OF LAST YEAR?
13	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR. JUNE, SIR.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. AND AFTER THAT HEARING, YOU
15	DISMISSED THEM AND I APPOINTED THE PANEL ATTORNEY?
16	THE RESPONDENT: MR. COOPER, YES, SIR.
17	THE COURT: AND DO YOU WANT HIM TO BE
18	DISMISSED?
19	THE RESPONDENT: I AM AFRAID, SIR, I HAVE SOME
20	PAPERWORK HERE, IF YOU WOULD CARE TO LOOK AT IT. THERE
21	IS A PERSONALITY CONFLICT BETWEEN MR. COOPER AND MR.
22	COOPER'S OFFICE, AND MYSELF AND MY WIFE.
23	THE COURT: I HAVE READ YOUR LETTER OR THE
24	LETTER OF APRIL 28.
25	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.

1	THE COURT: DID YOU GET A COPY OF THAT?
2	MR. GRAY: NO, YOUR HONOR. THAT WAS FILED
3	UNDER SEAL. WE WERE NOT ABLE TO RETRIEVE THAT.
4	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO THEM
5	SEEING IT?
6	THE RESPONDENT: NO, SIR.
7	THE COURT: NO. DON'T YOU THINK THEY SHOULD
8	SEE IT?
9	THE RESPONDENT: IF THEY WISH, I HAVE NO
10	OBJECTION.
11	THE COURT: WELL, IT'S GOING TO BE HARD FOR
12	THEM TO RESPOND IF THEY NEVER SEE IT.
13	THE RESPONDENT: YES. BY ALL MEANS.
14	THE COURT: YOU PUT THIS TOGETHER AND FILED IT
15	YOURSELF?
16	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
17	THE COURT: I AM GOING TO HAVE A COPY GIVEN TO
18	YOU. I AM JUST TRYING TO KEEP THE FLOW OF BUSINESS GOING
19	HERE, BUT I AM GOING TO HAVE A COPY GIVEN TO YOU, AND WHO
20	IS THE OTHER LAWYER THAT YOU ARE INTERESTED IN?
21	THE RESPONDENT: MR. HAWES, SIR.
22	THE COURT: MR. HAWES. HE IS IN SOME OTHER
23	CASES?
24	THE RESPONDENT: CORRECT, SIR.
25	THE COURT: HE IS GOING TO BE HERE THIS

1	AFTERNOON?
2	THE RESPONDENT: CORRECT.
3	THE COURT: SO IF I LET MR. COOPER OUT AND IF
4	MR. HAWES IS INTERESTED, HAVE YOU TALKED TO HAWES?
5	THE RESPONDENT: MY WIFE TALKED TO HIM, SIR.
6	THE COURT: AND HAWES INDICATED HE WOULD NOT
7	BE OPPOSED TO BEING INVOLVED IN THE CASE?
8	THE RESPONDENT: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING,
9	YES, SIR.
10	THE COURT: SO IF I AM POSSIBLY GOING TO DO
11	THAT, I WILL BRING YOU BACK THIS AFTERNOON WHEN HAWES IS
12	HERE, BUT THE WHERE DID YOU GET THE STUFF FROM THE
13	B.O.P.?
14	THE RESPONDENT: THAT IS DISCOVERY, SIR, THAT
15	MR. COOPER SENT.
16	THE COURT: SO THE GOVERNMENT HAS THIS? IT
17	CAME FROM THE GOVERNMENT; DIDN'T IT?
18	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
19	THE COURT: MR. COOPER?
20	MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: SO THE ONLY THING THEY DON'T HAVE
22	IS YOUR LETTER; IS THAT IT?
23	THE RESPONDENT: THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.
24	THE COURT: BUT THEY HAVE ALL THE OTHER
25	UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS?

1	THE RESPONDENT: THEY DO, SIR.
2	THE COURT: THEY CREATED THEM AND SENT THEM TO
3	YOU?
4	THE RESPONDENT: YES. THERE IS THE ORIGINAL
5	HERE THAT THEY ARE WELCOME TO SEE. I CAN PASS THIS
6	ACROSS, IF THAT WILL SHORT CIRCUIT THINGS.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST GIVE IT TO THEM
8	AND WE'LL GET YOU A COPY. THERE IS NOTHING SECRET IN
9	THAT.
10	(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A PAUSE.)
11	THE COURT: SO THIS MATERIAL THAT YOU ATTACHED
12	HAVING TO DO WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COMMUNITY
13	SUPERVISION, YOU ARE SAYING THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAD A
14	PROTOCOL THAT THEY WERE GOING TO APPLY TO YOU IN YOUR
15	SUPERVISED RELEASE. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?
16	THE RESPONDENT: PRINCIPALLY WHAT I WAS SAYING
17	IS THAT IN THE PROCESS, MY WIFE LIVES IN VIRGINIA. MY
18	CASE IS OUT OF CALIFORNIA BECAUSE I WAS HELD AT LOS
19	ANGELES, LAX, ON ENTRY TO THE UNITED STATES. THAT IS THE
20	ONLY CONNECTION I HAVE WITH CALIFORNIA IS ACTUALLY BEING
21	ARRESTED THERE, AND THAT IS WHERE THE CASE COMES OUT OF.
22	MY WIFE CURRENTLY LIVES IN VIRGINIA, BUT SHE
23	HAS ACQUIRED A HOME IN NORTH CAROLINA. AND MY BUT
24	LAST SUMMER, AS THAT DOCUMENTATION SHOWS, THERE WERE
25	PEOPLE INVOLVED IN GETTING THE CASE RELOCATED FROM LOS

ANGELES TO VIRGINIA WHERE MY WIFE LIVED AT THE TIME, BUT

THAT GOT INTERCEPTED BY THIS CERTIFICATION.

SO IN THE PROCESS OF THAT RELOCATION GOING

SO IN THE PROCESS OF THAT RELOCATION GOING FORWARD, IT WAS INTERRUPTED AND STOPPED BECAUSE I WAS BROUGHT DOWN TO BUTNER, NORTH CAROLINA.

NOW, WHAT I WISH TO DO, OF COURSE, IS CONTINUE
THE RELOCATION TO NORTH CAROLINA SO THAT IF I AM AND WHEN
I AM RELEASED, I WOULD COME UNDER THE JURISDICTION, OR
WHATEVER THE WORDING IS, FOR THE PROBATION SERVICE IN
NORTH CAROLINA SO THAT I WOULD BE ABLE TO LIVE WITH MY
WIFE.

MY CONCERN IS THAT IN THE SOMP REPORT, WHICH
WE CONTEST RIGOROUSLY --

THE COURT: THE WHAT?

THE RESPONDENT: THE SOMP REPORT. THAT IS THE REPORT FROM DEVENS, AT THE VERY END, BY THIS GENTLEMAN NAMED THIBAULT. THAT IS THE SEXUAL OFFENDERS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. IT'S A HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL REPORT THAT WE WISH TO CONTEST AT A TRIAL, AND MANY OF THE STATEMENTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND THINGS SAID THROUGHOUT THIS DOCUMENTATION ARE SPURIOUS, AT BEST, AND OUTRIGHT FALSE, AT WORSE, WHICH WE CAN PROVE, BUT THAT CAN ONLY COME ABOUT AT THE HEARING OR AT A TRIAL, I SHOULD SAY.

THE COURT: WELL, THIBAULT WRITES THIS

DOCUMENT THAT ON PAGE 16 HE SIGNS.

1	THE RESPONDENT: THAT HE SIGNED; RIGHT.
2	THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TALKING
3	ABOUT?
4	THE RESPONDENT: THAT IS THE STIPULATION.
5	THE COURT: IT DOESN'T HAVE A DATE.
6	THE RESPONDENT: NO, SIR. I BELIEVE IT BEGINS
7	ON 1282 I AM SORRY. NO. IT'S BEFORE THAT. IT BEGINS
8	ON PAGE 12 OF HIS NOTATION, AND AT THE BOTTOM, 1280 OF
9	THE B.O.P. NOTATION, AND THIS IS AT THE END OF HIS
10	ASSESSMENT THAT HE MADE.
11	THE COURT: WELL, IS THIS ASSESSMENT SAYING
12	YOU SHOULD BE DETAINED OR YOU SHOULD BE RELEASED?
13	THE RESPONDENT: SHOULD BE RELEASED. HE HAD
14	NO OBJECTION TO MY RELEASE. HE JUST HAD ON TOP OF THAT A
15	WHOLE BUNCH OF STIPULATIONS, INCLUDING WHICH IS TOTALLY
16	UNJUST, IS WHERE HE RECOMMENDS THAT I NOT BE PERMITTED TO
17	RESIDE WITH MY WIFE, WHICH IS RECOMMENDATION NUMBER 4,
18	"MR. EBEL SHOULD NOT RESIDE" NO, I AM SORRY. IT'S NOT
19	NUMBER 4. I AM TRYING TO FIND IT.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THIS
21	APPARENTLY
22	THE RESPONDENT: YES, IT IS NUMBER 4. I AM
23	SORRY.
24	THE COURT: THIS COMES UNDER THE DATE OF JUNE
25	8, 2010?

1	THE RESPONDENT: YES, THAT WAS AT THE TIME OF
2	THE CERTIFICATION.
3	THE COURT: WELL, ARE YOU SAYING AGAIN THAT HE
4	SAYS YOU SHOULD BE RELEASED OR THAT YOU SHOULD BE
5	DETAINED?
6	THE RESPONDENT: HIS STATEMENT, HIS WHOLE
7	THING IS THAT I SHOULD BE RELEASED, YES, SIR. YES.
8	THE COURT: AND THEN
9	THE RESPONDENT: THIS DOCUMENT I AM SORRY
10	FOR INTERRUPTING, SIR THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU ARE
11	LOOKING AT IS THE REPORT, I BELIEVE, THAT WAS TO BE SENT
12	TO LOS ANGELES PROBATION BECAUSE I WAS TO BE SENT OUT
13	THERE, RELEASED ON THE 22ND, AND THIS IS EXTRACTED FROM
14	HIS ACTUAL PROBATION REPORT.
15	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
16	THE RESPONDENT: OR RECOMMENDATIONS TO
17	PROBATION.
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THIS SHEET WHICH
19	HAS A LOT OF ABBREVIATIONS IN IT I DON'T KNOW WHAT
20	THEY MEAN BUT DATED JANUARY 13, 2009, SUBJECT, WALSH
21	NOTIFICATION PANEL, EBEL
22	THE RESPONDENT: THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.
23	THE COURT: THAT IS THE ONE THAT IS A YEAR AND
24	A HALF AHEAD OF THE DATE THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE
25	RELEASED?

1	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
2	THE COURT: AND IT SAYS BASED ON THE REVIEW OF
3	ALL AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS INMATE, THE
4	PANEL DETERMINED THAT THE INMATE DOES NOT MEET
5	DEFINITIONAL CRITERIA OF SEXUALLY DANGEROUS PERSON, AND
6	YOU ARE RELYING ON THAT, TOO; IS THAT RIGHT?
7	THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN HAVE A SEAT.
9	HOW DOES HE GET CERTIFIED IF THE B.O.P. HAS DETERMINED
10	THAT HE DOESN'T MEET THE CRITERIA?
11	MR. GRAY: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE AT A
12	DISADVANTAGE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE DOCUMENTS THAT
13	YOU ARE SPEAKING OF IN FRONT OF US RIGHT NOW.
14	THE COURT: OKAY.
15	MR. GRAY: WE DON'T KNOW WHICH DOCUMENTS HE
16	HAS ATTACHED TO HIS LETTER. IF WE CAN HAVE AN
17	OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE HOWEVER, THE BASIS
18	FOR CERTIFICATION
19	THE COURT: SO YOU HAVEN'T SEEN WHAT I AM
20	READING FROM?
21	MR. GRAY: NO, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, IT WOULD BE UNFAIR TO
23	ASK YOU TO RESPOND. MAYBE WE WILL DO THAT THIS AFTERNOON
24	WITH RESPECT TO HIM.
25	YOU WANT TO GET OUT, TOO; IS THAT RIGHT, MR.

1	COOPER?
2	MR. COOPER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IT IS PATENTLY
3	CLEAR TO ME THAT MR. EBEL IS UNHAPPY AND DISSATISFIED FOR
4	WHATEVER THE REASON IS. AND IF IT'S THE COURT'S
5	PLEASURE, THEN YES, YOUR HONOR, I WILL MOVE TO WITHDRAW.
6	THE RELATIONSHIP HAS DETERIORATED TO THE POINT WE ARE NOT
7	GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET ANYTHING DONE. I DON'T THINK WE
8	HAVE ANY OTHER CHOICE BUT TO DO THAT.
9	THE COURT: WHERE IS HIS CASE RIGHT NOW IN
10	TERMS OF THE PROCESS?
11	MR. COOPER: WE RECEIVED DISCOVERY ON THE CASE
12	ON APRIL 1, AND IT'S SOME I HAVE THE DISK HERE 1700
13	PAGES. I REVIEWED THE DISCOVERY. I MAILED SUCH PORTIONS
14	OF THE DISCOVERY TO MR. EBEL THAT WOULD BE ALLOWED IN THE
15	B.O.P.
16	AND PRIOR TO RECEIVING PRIOR TO ME MAILING
17	THE DISCOVERY IS WHEN I GOT THE LETTER FROM MR. EBEL
18	ASKING THAT I WITHDRAW.
19	THE COURT: YES.
20	MR. COOPER: THAT IS NOT THE FIRST TIME HE AND
21	I HAVE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT HE DESIRED
22	MY REPRESENTATION, SO AT THAT POINT I FIGURED THE BEST
23	THING TO DO WOULD BE TO MOVE TO WITHDRAW.
24	EVEN SO, ONCE I HAD THE DISCOVERY, HE IS

ENTITLED TO REVIEW IT. AS I SAID, I MAILED SUCH PORTIONS

25

1 TO HIM AS I COULD, AND I SPOKE TO HIM AGAIN THIS MORNING 2 AND HE HAS STATED THAT HE IS AWARE OF WHAT THE STATUS IS. 3 WE AREN'T AGREEABLE ON WHAT THE NEXT STEP WOULD BE. BUT HE SAYS IT IS JUST NOT GOING TO WORK AND 4 5 IF IT'S NOT GOING TO WORK, IT'S NOT GOING TO WORK. THAT 6 IS WHERE WE ARE. I HAVE THE DISCOVERY DISK. I AM MORE 7 THAN HAPPY TO TURN IT BACK OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT. 8 THE COURT: YOU WHAT? MR. COOPER: I HAVE THE DISCOVERY DISK. I AM 9 MORE THAN HAPPY TO TURN IT BACK OVER. 10 11 THE COURT: WELL, DON'T TURN IT OVER TO THEM 12 IF IT'S THE DISCOVERY. TURN IT OVER TO HIS NEXT LAWYER. 13 MR. COOPER: I WILL DO THAT. 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 AND AGAIN, I AM TRYING TO JUST BE IN A WORKMAN 16 LIKE WAY, GET INTO THESE CASES. DO YOU KNOW WHAT IS IN 17 THE DISCOVERY OR IS IT JUST SORT OF A PACKAGE DISCOVERY 18 OF EVERYTHING THAT MIGHT BE RELEVANT WITHOUT BEING 19 SCANNED TO TELL. 20 WHAT -- HERE IS WHAT I AM TRYING TO GET AT. 21 IT'S NOT A TRICK QUESTION. I AM OPERATING UNDER THE 22 PRINCIPLE THAT THE BRADY TYPE ORDER THAT I ENTERED IN 23 EDWARDS IS GOING TO BE EFFECTIVE IN MY CASES, AND SO I AM 24 INTERESTED IN KNOWING IF YOU HAVE A VOLUME OF INFORMATION

FOR THIS PERSON AND YOU HAVE CATALOGED IT OR COLLECTED IT

25

1 RATHER AND PUT IT ON A DISK. HAVE YOU DISCRIMINATED 2 THROUGHOUT IT AND SAID, WELL, THIS IS BRADY, THIS IS 3 COMPLIANCE WITH BRADY, THIS IS FAVORABLE, THIS IS 4 UNFAVORABLE, OR IS IT JUST THE GROSS INFORMATION? 5 MR. ACKER: YOUR HONOR, LET ME SPEAK TO THE 6 BRADY ISSUE AS IT RELATES TO THIS PARTICULAR CASE AND 7 THEN ALSO A LITTLE BIT MORE GENERALLY. IN THIS 8 PARTICULAR CASE, AS IN ALL THE CASES, WE TURNED OVER 9 EVERYTHING THAT THE STANDING ORDER INSTRUCTS US TO DO 10 WHICH IS ALL THE UNDERLYING MEDICAL RECORDS, ALL THE 11 PSYCHOLOGICAL RECORDS, ANY TESTING, ANY PRIOR 12 PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS THAT ARE ALREADY IN THE B.O.P. 13 FILES. 14 WE HAVE ALSO, I BELIEVE, TURNED OVER TWO --15 ONE EXPERT REPORT AND A SECOND EXPERT REPORT WILL BE 16 TURNED OVER WITHIN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS. 17 THE COURT: IN THIS CASE? 18 MR. ACKER: YES. WHEN WE SPOKE PREVIOUSLY 19 ABOUT THE EDWARDS CASE, THE QUESTION WAS WHETHER OR NOT 20 WE COULD WITHHOLD EXPERT REPORTS UNDER RULE 26 OF THE 21 CIVIL RULES THAT WERE NOT FAVORABLE, WHETHER THEY WERE 22 WRITTEN OR ORAL. 23 AND SINCE THE TIME OF THE ORDER THAT YOU 24 ENTERED IN EDWARDS, WE HAVE ENTERED INTO A STIPULATION 25 WITH THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER AND HAVE AGREED THAT

THAT WOULD APPLY TO ALL CASES, INCLUDING PANEL ATTORNEYS, 1 2 IN WHICH ANY REPORT, FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE, ORAL OR 3 WRITTEN, BY THE PSYCHOLOGISTS AT BUTNER WILL BE TURNED 4 OVER, REGARDLESS. WE WOULD NOT RELY ON RULE 26 OF THE 5 RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE IN THAT. 6 WHAT WE HAVE NOT AGREED TO DO ACROSS THE BOARD 7 IS TO TURN OVER ANY UNFAVORABLE OPINIONS FROM OUTSIDE 8 EXPERTS. THAT IS, EXPERTS OTHER THAN --9 THE COURT: FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT? 10 MR. ACKER: FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT. 11 STILL CONTEND THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT UNDER RULE 26 OF THE 12 RULES OF FEDERAL PROCEDURES TO USE AN EXPERT TO CONSULT 13 WITH THAT WE DO NOT PLAN TO CALL AT TRIAL AND WE ARE NOT 14 REQUIRED TO TURN THAT OVER. 15 WE UNDERSTAND, HOWEVER --16 THE COURT: SO IN THEORY, YOU CAN HAVE A THIRD 17 PARTY EXPERT OR WITNESS, A THIRD PARTY WITNESS, WHOSE 18 INFORMATION COULD BE CREATED AND ALSO KNOWN TO YOU AND IT 19 COULD BE FAVORABLE TO THE DETAINEE OR IN SOME WAY LEAD TO 20 FAVORABLE INFORMATION FOR THE DETAINEE AND BECAUSE YOU 21 WERE NOT GOING TO MAKE THAT A PART OF YOUR CASE, YOU 22 DON'T HAVE TO DISCLOSE IT. THAT IS YOUR POSITION? 23 MR. ACKER: THAT IS OUR POSITION, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: BUT THE BRADY ORDER IN EDWARDS 25 WOULD ADDRESS THAT.

1 MR. ACKER: YES, IT WOULD, YOUR HONOR. AND 2 THE WAY WE WOULD ASK YOUR HONOR TO DEAL WITH THAT IS IF 3 YOUR HONOR IN EACH CASE THAT IS BEFORE YOUR HONOR, 4 ENTERED AN ORDER NOTING THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS TAKEN 5 THIS POSITION AND OBJECTS TO THE APPLICATION OF BRADY TO 6 A CIVIL CASE, BUT NEVERTHELESS, YOU RULE THAT WE DO HAVE 7 TO, FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH IN EDWARDS, WE WILL COMPLY 8 WITH YOUR ORDER. 9 BUT WE DO FOR THE RECORD, WOULD LIKE FOR EACH 10 CASE IN WHICH THAT -- WE HAVE THAT OBLIGATION, THERE TO 11 BE AN ORDER IN THAT CASE NOTING THAT WE HAVE OBJECTED. 12 THE COURT: HERE IS THE DILEMMA. AND YOU ALL 13 ARE DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB. HERE IS THE DILEMMA. 14 RULING IS, IN FACT, GROUNDED IN THE CONSTITUTION AND A 15 CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, THEN I DON'T HAVE THE DISCRETION TO 16 APPLY IT OR NOT APPLY IT. I MEAN, I THINK THAT IS TRUE. 17 IF IT'S A FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT 18 THAT IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, THEN MY INVOLVEMENT 19 IS RELEVANT IN IT. AND ALSO NO OTHER JUDGE, IF IT WAS 20 FOUND TO BE VALID, --21 MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: -- NO OTHER JUDGE WOULD BE ABLE TO 23 ELECT TO HAVE A RULE OR HAVE SOME OTHER DISPOSITION THAT 24 WAS CONTRARY TO THAT.

25

MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. BUT

1	BECAUSE NO APPELLATE COURT HAS RULED ON THAT YET, WE JUST
2	WANT TO PRESERVE FOR THE RECORD THAT WE HAVE OBJECTED.
3	WE DO NOT AGREE WITH THAT.
4	THE COURT: RIGHT. BUT I THINK MY POSITION
5	WOULD BE THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO START SOMEWHERE AND MOST
6	CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS GET STARTED IN DISTRICT COURT,
7	EITHER BY DENYING THEM OR AFFIRMING THEM. I MEAN, I AM
8	HOPEFUL THAT WELL, GIDEON (PHONETIC) WAS A STATE CASE,
9	BUT, ANYWAY.
10	MR. ACKER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE ORDER THAT
11	YOU ENTERED IN EDWARDS, WE DON'T REALLY BELIEVE IS
12	APPEALABLE BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN RELEASED.
13	THE COURT: I SEE. SO IT MIGHT BE THE NEXT
14	TIME I RULE ON IT.
15	MR. ACKER: IT MAY BE, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: AND NOT TRIPP. WHAT IS HIS
17	NAME? TIMMS. HE FILLED ONE TODAY.
18	MR. ACKER: HE DID, YOUR HONOR. SO IT MAY BE
19	THAT THAT IS THE CASE IN WHICH YOU DEAL WITH THAT.
20	THE COURT: AND HE IS WELL KNOWN TO THE
21	APPELLATE COURT.
22	MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: SO THAT MIGHT BE A GOOD VEHICLE TO
24	TAKE UP.
25	MR. ACKER: BUT EVEN ABSENT THAT, IF YOU ENTER

AN ORDER IN ANY OF THESE CASES AND IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE
A LONG ORDER, YOU CAN REFER TO EDWARDS, BUT IF YOU
ENTERED SUCH AN ORDER NOTING OUR OBJECTION, WE WILL
COMPLY.

THE COURT: AND ONE OF YOUR -- I AM NOT

SPEAKING FOR YOU, BUT JUST THINKING OUTLOUD -- ONE OF

YOUR CONCERNS PROBABLY IS THAT THE EDWARDS' BRADY ORDER

INHIBITS THE FULL DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION BECAUSE IT

ACTS AS A BARRIER OR DANGER ZONE FOR YOU TO AGGRESSIVELY

PURSUE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE AND BUILD SUBSTANTIVE CASE

WHEN IT MIGHT END UP UNDOING YOUR CASE.

MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: BUT THE COUNTERWEIGHT TO THAT IS

THAT WE ARE ALL IN THE BUSINESS OF TRUTH AND JUSTICE, AND

SO IF YOUR RESOURCES END UP PRODUCING SOMETHING THAT IS

CONTRARY TO THE POSITION YOU NOW TAKE, THAT IS WHERE THE

CASE WENT.

MR. ACKER: WE UNDERSTAND. AND YOUR HONOR, AS YOUR HONOR POINTED OUT IN THE EDWARDS CASE, THIS IS A MATTER OF FIRST IMPRESSION. IT'S CERTAINLY AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. AND AS WE SAID, AS LONG AS THERE IS AN ORDER IN A PARTICULAR CASE NOTING THAT WE HAVE OBJECTED, BUT ORDERING US TO COMPLY WITH YOUR ORDER IN EDWARDS, WE WILL DO SO.

THE COURT: AND YOU KNOW, I MAY BE TOO

1 NEARSIGHTED ABOUT IT BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH 2 IT, BUT IF IT'S GOT ANY MEANING TO IT, IT WOULD BE 3 SOMETHING THAT WE ALL NEED TO KNOW. I DON'T KNOW HOW 4 EAGER THE APPELLATE COURTS ARE GOING TO BE TO CONTINUE TO 5 REVISIT THIS WHOLE 4248 SITUATION. 6 BUT SO THE ANSWER TO MY OUESTION FROM A FEW 7 MINUTES AGO THAT I WANDERED AWAY FROM IS THAT THE 8 INFORMATION IS PROVIDED COMPREHENSIVELY IN GROSS BUT NOT 9 IDENTIFIED AS BEING FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE? 10 MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: OKAY. 12 MR. ACKER: AND I DON'T BELIEVE -- I DON'T 13 HAVE THE CITE, BUT I BELIEVE THERE IS A FOURTH CIRCUIT 14 CASE DEALING WITH THAT ISSUE THAT SAYS, FOR EXAMPLE, IF 15 YOU JUST PROVIDE AN OPEN FILE DISCOVERY, THAT YOU HAVE 16 COMPLIED WITH BRADY AS LONG AS THE MATERIAL IS WITHIN 17 THAT MASS OF INFORMATION. 18 THE COURT: SO IN MR. EBEL'S CASE, HE HAS HAD 19 YOUR DISCOVERY AND THEN WHAT HAPPENS? THERE IS A 60-DAY 20 PERIOD UNDER THE STANDING ORDER THAT OPERATES AND THEN HE 21 HAS TO MAKE A DISCLOSURE. 22 MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: AND THAT WILL HAPPEN IN JUNE, IF 23 24 HE HAS A LAWYER. 25 MR. ACKER: THAT WOULD BE FINE. YES. I THINK

1	THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. WE DO, BECAUSE THESE CASES
2	UNDER YOUR HONOR ARE JUST A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT IN TERMS
3	OF THE SCHEDULING, I DO THINK OUR SECOND EXPERT REPORT IS
4	DUE IN TWO WEEKS, AND WE WOULD DELIVER THAT, SO IT WOULD
5	BE 60 DAYS FROM THAT DATE, UNLESS YOUR HONOR I THINK
6	YOUR HONOR SHORTENED IT IN SOME CASES, BUT WE WILL DO
7	WHATEVER YOUR HONOR SAYS.
8	THE COURT: YES. AND THEN, IN THEORY, WE ARE
9	ON A PATH TOWARDS TRIAL THEN.
10	MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU. AND I
12	WILL CONTINUE HIM OVER TO 2:00 O'CLOCK AND SEE IF WE CAN
13	GET MR. HAWES INVOLVED IN THE CASE.
14	MR. ACKER: DO YOU NEED MR. COOPER TO COME
15	BACK AT 2:00 O'CLOCK?
16	THE COURT: NO, HE DOESN'T NEED TO COME BACK.
17	I WILL RELEASE MR. COOPER FROM THE CASE, AND IN THE
18	MEANTIME, I WOULD ASK THE CLERK TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE
19	DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVEN'T RECEIVED YET.
20	MR. GRAY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: SO MR. EBEL CAN BE RELIEVED UNTIL
22	2:00 O'CLOCK.
23	THE RESPONDENT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE ADJOURNED
25	UNTIL LATER IN THE DAY.)

1	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
2	HELD IN THE AFTERNOON.)
3	MR. HAWES: I TALKED WITH HIS WIFE INITIALLY
4	WHEN THEY WERE NOT SATISFIED WITH THE OTHER ATTORNEY'S
5	PERFORMANCE. SHE ASKED IF I WOULD BE WILLING TO TAKE
6	OVER THE CASE, AND I SAID IF I WAS APPOINTED THE CASE, I
7	WOULD CERTAINLY LOOK INTO THE POSSIBILITY OF TAKING IT
8	OVER.
9	MEANWHILE, I HAVE LOOKED OVER WHAT I HAVE TO
10	DO. I FEEL LIKE I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO DEVOTE TO
11	HIS CASE TO DO A GOOD JOB, AND SO I AM ASKING NOT TO BE
12	APPOINTED ON THE CASE, AND I HAVE ACTUALLY TALKED TO HER
13	TODAY ABOUT THAT.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WE WILL FIND SOMEBODY
15	ELSE.
16	MR. HAWES: THANK YOU.
17	THE COURT: YOU ARE FREE TO LEAVE.
18	DID WE LEAVE ANY ISSUES UNRESOLVED THIS
19	MORNING THAT WE WERE GOING TO REVISIT, OR ARE WE GOOD ON
20	THAT?
21	MR. ACKER: I THINK THE ONLY THING, YOUR
22	HONOR, IS IF YOUR HONOR ENTERS AN ORDER ABOUT BRADY.
23	THE COURT: YES.
24	MR. ACKER: YOUR HONOR DID ALSO ASK ABOUT THE
25	CASES THAT ARE ON APPEAL, AND I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE BUREAU

1	OF PRISONS AND THEY ARE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND BEGIN THE
2	PROCESS OF REVIEWING THOSE AS WELL.
3	THE COURT: OKAY. AND DID WE PUT VIGIL TO
4	REST AS FAR AS YOU ARE CONCERNED?
5	MR. ACKER: YES, YOUR HONOR, I THINK SO.
6	THE COURT: DID YOU FIGURE OUT HOW MANY CASES
7	WERE RELEASED?
8	MR. ACKER: I HAVE NOT CHECKED THAT, YOUR
9	HONOR. I DO BELIEVE IT'S ABOUT EIGHT OR TEN.
10	THE COURT: MR. EBEL, YOU CAN HAVE A SEAT.
11	ARE THERE ANY OTHER JUDICIAL OFFICERS IN THE
12	DISTRICT WHO ARE BRINGING THE DETAINEES TO THE
13	COURTHOUSE? SO FAR?
14	MR. ACKER: YES. JUDGE GATES, I BELIEVE, DID
15	AT SOME OF THE HEARINGS THAT HE HELD, OR AT LEAST HE
16	TALKED ABOUT IT, AND I THINK HE DID.
17	THE COURT: IS HE STILL DOING THAT?
18	MR. ACKER: WE MET WITH HIM TODAY AT 1:00
19	O'CLOCK AND HE IS SETTING STATUS CONFERENCES WEEKLY ON
20	ALL THE CASES THAT HE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR, BUT HAS NOT
21	INDICATED WHETHER OR NOT THE RESPONDENTS WILL BE
22	PHYSICALLY PRESENT. I THINK HE IS TAKING THAT ON A CASE
23	BY CASE BASIS.
24	THE COURT: AND WHAT DOES HE HAVE, ABOUT 80
25	CASES?

1	MR. ACKER: I THINK IT'S ALL THE CASES OTHER
2	THAN YOUR CASES, AND IT'S PROBABLY ABOUT 60 OR 70 THAT HE
3	IS DOING THE NON-DISPOSITIVE SCHEDULING ISSUES AND
4	NON-DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS.
5	THE COURT: DO YOU THINK IT'S THAT MANY OR
6	MORE?
7	MR. ACKER: WELL, THERE WERE ORIGINALLY ABOUT
8	90-SOME CASES.
9	THE COURT: I HAD ABOUT 23.
10	MR. ACKER: SO THAT WOULD BE ABOUT 70, MINUS
11	THE ONES THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN RELEASED.
12	THE COURT: SO HE HAS ABOUT 70 CASES.
13	MR. ACKER: I BELIEVE SO, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: MAYBE I SHOULD TAKE SOME OF HIS
15	CASES, EVEN IT OUT.
16	MR. ACKER: I THINK IT WAS DIVIDED FAIRLY
17	EVENLY, EXCEPT FOR JUDGE HOWARD WHO TOOK THE SMALLER
18	LOAD.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. I CAN VOLUNTEER. I CAN DO
20	FIRST APPEARANCES AND THINGS LIKE THAT, AND DETENTION
21	HEARINGS, A PRETTY UTILITY IN-FIELDER HERE. I WILL BRING
22	THAT UP.
23	MR. HAWES IS NOT ABLE TO HANDLE YOUR CASE WITH
24	HIS PRACTICE. HE HONESTLY SAID THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE THE
25	TIME TO DEVOTE TO IT, SO I AM GOING TO APPOINT ANOTHER

LAWYER TO REPRESENT YOU, AND SEE IF YOU CAN MAKE PROGRESS
WITH THE OTHER LAWYER.

MEANTIME, YOUR DISCOVERY IS ONGOING, AS WE TALKED ABOUT THIS MORNING, AND I KNOW YOU DON'T WANT TO LOSE YOUR PLACE IN LINE; RIGHT?

THE RESPONDENT: WELL, MY MOST BIGGEST CONCERN

I HAVE IS ADDRESSING -- HOW CAN I PUT IT -- THE

EVALUATION THAT WAS MADE BY BRENT THIBAULT. NOW THAT IS

THE SOLE CRITERIA AT THE MOMENT ON WHICH CERTIFICATION

WAS MADE, BRENT THIBAULT BEING THIS SPECIALIST AT DEVENS.

PART OF THE REPORT OF WHICH YOU HAVE THERE IS HIS

PROBATION RECOMMENDATIONS.

NOW, THE BALANCE OF THIS REPORT IS -- AND I
DON'T SAY THIS LIGHTLY, SIR -- IT'S PERJURATVIE. IT'S A
WORK OF FICTION, AND WE CAN PROVE THIS. AND -- BUT THE
ONLY PLACE IT CAN BE PROVED, SIR, IS AT A HEARING, AT A
TRIAL, WHICH IS WHAT I MOST CERTAINLY WANT TO PURSUE.

NOW, MY WIFE IS HERE. SHE HAS BEEN HERE AT
THE FIRST HEARING, AT THIS HEARING, TOTALLY SUPPORTIVE,
WISHES TO WORK ACTIVELY WITH REPRESENTING COUNSEL, WISHES
TO ALSO INSTITUTE AND IS IN THE PROCESS OF INSTITUTING A
SUIT OF SLANDER, OF DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER AND OTHER
CONSIDERATIONS AGAINST BRENT THIBAULT WHO BROUGHT HER
INTO THIS SITUATION FOR NO VALID REASON WHATSOEVER, WHICH
AGAIN, CAN BE PROVEN, BUT ONLY CAN BE PROVEN THROUGH A

HEARING, THROUGH A TRIAL.

THESE ARE THE CONCERNS I HAVE. IT'S MORE THAN

A CERTIFICATION, OF WHETHER I DESERVE TO BE CERTIFIED.

IT'S WHERE, AS YOU SAID EARLIER, TRUTH EXISTS AND WHERE

JUSTICE EXISTS. I WANT THE TRUTH AND THAT IS ALL I WANT.

IF THE TRUTH GET ME CERTIFIED -- COMMITTED, I HAVE NO

PROBLEM. IF THE TRUTH GETS ME COMMITTED, I HAVE NO

PROBLEM WITH THAT AT ALL.

ALL I WANT TO DO IS GET THE TRUTH INTO COURT,
AND AT THE MOMENT, THE PAPERWORK THAT PRESENTLY EXISTS IS
NOT THE TRUTH, SIR. I HAVE NOT SEEN THIS EVALUATION,
SIR, THAT SOMEONE IS GOING TO PRODUCE WHO HAS NEVER SEEN
ME, NEVER SPOKEN TO ME, AND LOOKS AT THE SAME SPURIOUS
INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN IN MY FILE SINCE BRENT THIBAULT
PUT IT THERE A YEAR OR SO AGO.

THE CERTIFICATION, THE FIRST EXPERT WITNESS, I
BELIEVE HER NAME IS CUNIC, THAT IS A REPRINT, SIR,

VERBATIM, OF THE BRENT THIBAULT'S FICTION. THAT IS A

CERTIFICATION DOCUMENT. THE CERTIFICATION PEOPLE, THE

PANEL, WENT THROUGH IN WASHINGTON WHEN THEY TRIED TO GET

ME CERTIFIED, POLICE DEPARTMENTS, THEY WENT THROUGH

EVERYTHING THEY COULD TO TRY TO FIND SOME WAY, SOME

EVIDENCE, SOMETHING THEY COULD COME UP WITH. THAT IS IN

DISCOVERY. THEY CAME UP WITH NOTHING. THAT IS IN

1 WHAT THEY CAME UP WITH IS BRENT THIBAULT'S 2 DOCUMENTS, REPORT, EVALUATION, WHICH IS SPURIOUS, IS 3 VINDICTIVE, AND PURE FICTION -- I WON'T SAY PURE -- A 4 GOOD DEAL OF IT. AND THIS, AGAIN, CAN BE PHYSICALLY AND 5 ABSOLUTELY PROVEN, AND THAT'S ALL I WISH TO DO. AND 6 THAT'S ALL I SEE. 7 AND SO IF A HEARING -- IF IT TAKES SIX MONTHS, NINE MONTHS, A YEAR, TO WAIT FOR A TRIAL, I HAVE NO 8 9 PROBLEM WITH THAT WHATSOEVER. 10 I AM NOT INTERESTED IN THE TIME ELEMENT HERE. 11 I AM INTERESTED IN ALL OF THIS BEING RESOLVED AND FOR 12 ONCE THE TRUTH COMING OUT. THAT'S ALL I CARE ABOUT 13 BECAUSE IT'S MY REPUTATION. IT MY WIFE'S REPUTATION. 14 IT'S HER SUPPORT OF ME THROUGHOUT ALL OF THIS. AND SHE 15 HAS BEEN -- FOR A WOMAN TO STAY WITH SOMEONE IN THIS 16 SITUATION FOR GOING ON 11 YEARS, AND THEN FOR THIBAULT TO 17 USE THAT AGAINST HER, WHICH HE DOES IN THE MOST HOSTILE 18 WAY, IS REPREHENSIBLE. IT'S ABSOLUTELY REPREHENSIBLE. 19 AND EVERY EVIL BIT OF IT IS FULLY RECORDED IN DISCOVERY. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL APPOINT YOU 21 COUNSEL, AND AS I SAID, YOU SHOULD PAY ATTENTION TO YOUR 22 DISCOVERY SCHEDULE. 23 THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR. 24 THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU, MR. 25 ACKER AND MR. GRAY.

1	THE RESPONDENT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
3	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDING WERE ADJOURNED.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	CERTIFICATE
9	
10	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN THE UNITED STATES
12	DISTRICT COURT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF
13	THE SHORTHAND NOTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY ME IN
14	MACHINE SHORTHAND AND TRANSCRIBED BY COMPUTER UNDER MY
15	SUPERVISION.
16	DATED THIS 19TH DAY OF MAY, 2011.
17	
18	
19	/S/ SHARON K. KROEGER COURT REPORTER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	